Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Charlie L. Coleman, Deputy MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney, SBN 111529

MARY CLARE MOLIDOR, Chief, Criminal & Special Lit. Branch, SBN 8240 Count of Country of NO FEE - GOV'T CODE § Attorneys for Plaintiff

S

3

9

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT

6

10

Ξ

12

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff

7/0

14

15

BETTY WILLIAMS and EVERT WILLIAMS, as individuals and as co-trustees of the WILLIAMS FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST DATED JUNE 1, 2015; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

17

<u>∞</u>

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Case No.: BC 6 5 8

00

COMPLAINT FOR ABATEMENT AND INJUNCTION

[CIVIL CODE SECTION 3479, ET SEQ.; BUS. & PROF. CODE SECTION 17200, ET SEQ.]

[Unlimited Action]

# PLAINTIFF, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, alleges as follows:

#### I. INTRODUCTION

C

brought pursuant to the Public Nuisance Law ("PNL"), California Civil Code sections 3479, etThis action ("Action") is brought and prosecuted by Plaintiff, the People of the State of California ("People"), for the purpose of abating, preventing, and enjoining a gangrelated public nuisance that exists at a property with addresses commonly known as 5501 through 5517 S. Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90037 (the "Property"). The Action is seq., and the Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), California Business and Professions sections 17200, et seq.

 $\equiv$ 

12

13

7

15

16

17

8

19

20

21

22

23

Property, specifically the Property's parking lot (hereinafter, the "Parking Lot") and some of the Property lack proper licensing and permitting, and some even appear to facilitate and/or permit For approximately deal narcotics in the Parking Lot. They also park their vehicles in the driveways leading to the Their constant character with the lawlessness of the Property is the fact that many of the businesses on the The Property is a commercial strip mall known as "The Hut Village," aka "The two decades, the Property has been, and currently is, a cesspool of lawlessness marked by Hut," located on the corner of 55th Street and Vermont Avenue in a commercial area along surrounding community and to rival gangs. They are often armed and have been known to Gangster Crips (collectively, the "Gangs"). The Gangs' members and associates use the businesses, to loiter in large groups on a daily basis to claim the Property as theirs to the Keeping stronghold for two allied gangs, 51 Nothing But Trouble Gangster Crips and 52 Hoover violence, including murder, shootings, batteries, robberies, and narcotics sales. It is a Parking Lot in an effort to stall both rival gang members and law enforcement. presence on the Property makes it an easy target for shootings by rival gangs. Vermont Avenue and is also adjacent to residential homes on 55th Street. the Gangs' activities in their respective businesses ci

=

26

25

 $\equiv$ 

=

- The violence and chaos at the Property occurs perilously close to four schools, which are located within a half mile radius of the Property. က်
- WILLIAMS ("B. Williams"), as co-trustees of the WILLIAMS FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST The Property is owned by EVERT WILLIAMS ("E. Williams") and BETTY DATED JUNE 1, 2015 (the "Trust").
- This nuisance abatement prosecution is intended to bring the unacceptable state of affairs at the Property to a halt; to make the Property inhospitable to the gang members and and to make the Property safe for the people who live, work, and shop in the surrounding area. associated criminals who now freely use it to intimidate and harass people in the community;

00

01

Ξ

12

13

7

15

16

17

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

## II. THE PARTIES AND THE PROPERTY

#### A. The Plaintiff

in California Code of Civil Procedure section 731 to be the complaining party in actions brought Plaintiff, the People, is the sovereign power of the State of California designated prosecute actions for relief under California Business and Professions Code section 17200,  $\it et$ California Business and Professions Code section 17204 authorizes Plaintiff, the People, to Furthermore, the City of Los Angeles has a population in excess of 750,000, and as such, abate, enjoin, and penalize narcotics nuisances and public nuisances, respectively seq. for unfair competition. 6 9

#### B. The Defendants

Defendants E. Williams and B. Williams, as co-trustees of the Trust, have been the record owners of the Property since approximately October 15, 2015 and prior to that owned the Property in their individual capacities as joint tenants since approximately November 21, 1972 (E. Williams and B. Williams will collectively be referred to "Defendants").

=

25

=

26

=

The true names and capacities of defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 50, Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this complaint and to insert in lieu of such fictitious names. When the true names and capacities of said defendants have been ascertained, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names the true names and capacities of said fictitiously named defendants  $\infty$ 

#### Property ပ

9

00

6

10

12

13

14

2

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

driveways leading into it: two on 55th Street and two on Vermont Avenue. The Property's legal State of California as per Map recorded in Book 16, page 68 of Maps in the Office of description is "Lots 56 and 57 of the Westerly Tract in the City of Los Angeles, County of Los occupied with various businesses, some of which have entrances off of the Parking Lot and 5501 through 5517 S. Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90037. The Property is partially The Property is a commercial strip mall with addresses commonly known as The Parking Lot has four County Recorder of said County," with Assessor's Parcel Number 5002-022-028 others which have entrances directly off of Vermont Avenue. Angeles,

#### THE PUBLIC NUISANCE LAW Ë

- (See City of Bakersfield v. Miller (1966) 64 Cal.2d 93, 99 ("The Legislature has defined in or is passage or use, in the customary manner, of any ... public park, square, street, or highway interfere with the comfortable enjoyment of life or property, or unlawfully obstructs the free indecent or offensive to the senses, or an obstruction to the free use of property, so as to injurious to health, including, but not limited to, the illegal sale of controlled substances, Civil Code section 3479 defines a public nuisance as "[a]nything which is general terms the word 'nuisance' in Civil Code section 3479. . . .").) 10.
- although the extent of the annoyance or damage inflicted upon individuals may be unequal." Civil Code section 3480 defines a public nuisance as "one which affects at the same time an entire community or neighborhood, or any considerable number of persons, 7
- In particular, gang activity, such as drug dealing, loitering, consumption of alcohol constitutes a public nuisance. (People ex rel. Gallo v. Acuna (1997) 14 Cal.4th 1090, 1120.) and illegal drugs, and boisterous conduct which creates a "hooligan-like atmosphere'

Selby Smelting and Lead Co. (1912) 163 Cal.84, 90 ("[I]n California, the rule is well established "remedies against a public nuisance are indictment or information, a civil action or abatement." Abatement is "accomplished by a court of equity by means of an injunction proper and suitable that in proper cases injunctive relief which accomplishes the purposes of abatement without its Civil Code section 3491 provides for the methods by which public nuisances to the facts of each case." (Sullivan v. Royer (1887) 72 Cal. 248, 249; see also People Civil Code section 3491 states that the such as those alleged herein may be abated. harsh features is permissible.").) 13

1

It provides in relevant part "[a] civil action may be brought Code of Civil Procedure section 731 authorizes a city attorney to bring an action city in the name of the people of the State of California to abate a public nuisance . . . by the any town or city in which such nuisance exists. to enjoin or abate a public nuisance. attorney of 4.

=

12

13

14

15

16

17

8

19

20

2

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Where "a building or other property is so used as to make it a nuisance under the Cal.App.2d 306, 318 ("a nuisance and liability therefore may exist without negligence"); People Barbiere (1917) 33 Cal.App. 770, 779; see also Sturges v. Charles L. Harney, Inc. (1958) 165 that it was used for the unlawful purpose constituting a nuisance." (People ex rel. Bradford v. statute, the nuisance may be abated . . . , notwithstanding that the owner had no knowledge for the trial court to find either, that the [defendants] threatened, and unless restrained, would having been found, supports the judgment.").) This is because "the object of the act is not to continue to maintain, aid, and abet, the nuisance, or that they knew the building was used in The existence of the nuisance was the ultimate fact in this case, and punish; its purpose is to effect a reformation of the property itself." (People v. Bayside Land unnecessary."); People v. Peterson (1920) 45 Cal.App. 457, 460 ("[I]t was not necessary v. McCadden (1920) 48 Cal.App. 790, 792 ("A judgment supported on findings that such nuisance was conducted and maintained on the premises in question, regardless of the knowledge of the owner thereof, is sufficient. Such knowledge on the part of the owner (1920) 48 Cal.App. 257, 261.) violation of the act. . . . 15. S

#### UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW ≥.

permits a nuisance that is unlawful under the PNL to exist on the premises of such a business, The practices forbidden by California's Unfair Competition Law at Business and citations and quotation marks omitted).) Thus, when a property owner conducts, maintains or a violation of the UCL. (See San Francisco v. Sainez (2000) 77 Cal.App.4th 1302, 1323.) Supreme Court has explained, the UCL "borrows violations of other laws and treats them as Professions Code section 17200 *et seq.* include any practices forbidden by law, be they As the California 880 (internal unlawful practices independently actionable under section 17200 *et seq.*" (S*outh Ba*y Chevrolet v. General Motors Acceptance Corp. (1999) 72 Cal.App.4th 861, criminal, federal, state, municipal, statutory, regulatory or court-made. 16.

9

00

6

12

13

4

2

91

17

18

19

20

7

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"[a]n 'unlawful business activity' includes anything that defendant is predicated on his or her personal participation in the unlawful practices. (People "Any (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17201.) The courts have expanded section 17200's net beyond direct firms, partnerships, joint stock companies, associations and other organizations of persons. can properly be called a business practice and that at the same time is forbidden by law. person performing or proposing to perform an act of unfair competition may be enjoined liability to include common law doctrines of secondary liability where the liability of each (People v. McKale (1979) 25 Cal.3d 626, 632.) Moreover, the UCL casts a broad net. v. Toomey (1985) 157 Cal.App.3d 1, 14; Emery v. Visa Int'l Service Ass'n (2002) 95 (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17203.) The term "person" includes "natural persons, As proscribed by the UCL, Cal.App.4th 952, 960.)

State of California by any city attorney of a city having a population in excess of 750,000 (Bus. A public entity can sue pursuant to Civil actions under the UCL may be brought in the name of the People of the ordinance. (People v. Thomas Shelton Powers, M.D., Inc. (1992) 2 Cal.App.4th 330, 338section 17200 based on violations of its own municipal code, state law, or other local & Prof. Code, § 17204), such as the City of Los Angeles. 339.)

- Defendants engaging in violations of the UCL may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction. (Bus. & Profs. Code, § 17203.) A court may make such orders or judgments, including appointment of a receiver, as may be necessary to prevent the use employment by any person of any practice constituting unfair competition.  $(\mathit{Id.})$ 19.
- Although no case has specifically been called upon to define the term "business" in section 17200, courts have frequently given a broad reading to the provisions of the UCL tenants is, axiomatically, a business. Further, recent amendments to section 17200 make to effect its broad remedial purposes. (See, e.g., Barquis v. Merchants Collection clear that even a one-time act of misconduct can constitute a violation of the UCL. (1972) 7 Cal.3d 94, 111-113.) The ownership of a property that is rented out to Earth Elements, Inc. (1997) 59 Cal.App.4th 965, 969.)

#### ACTION FOR PUBLIC NUISANCE OF, FIRST CAUSE

2

13

14

15

91

17

8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

[Civil Code Section 3479, et seq.

# Against All Defendants and DOES 1 through 50]

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 20 of this Complaint and makes them part of this First Cause of Action as though fully set forth herein. 21.
  - Code sections 3479 and 3480. The public nuisance, as described herein, is injurious to health, Property consists of, but is not limited to: violence including murder, shootings, possession of owned, operated, occupied, used, and/or directly or indirectly permitted to be occupied and illegal firearms, batteries, and robberies; narcotics sales; and the threatening and disorderly substantially and unreasonably interfere with the comfortable enjoyment of life or property used, the Property in such a manner as to constitute a public nuisance in violation of Civil those persons living in the surrounding community. The public nuisance connected to the For approximately two decades, Defendants and DOES 1 through 50 have indecent or offensive to the senses, and/or an obstruction to the free use of property, presence of gang members. 22.

=

27

=

as a public nuisance, have engaged in Defendants and DOES 1 through 50 in owning, conducting, maintaining, and/or wrongful conduct and caused a serious threat to the general health, safety and welfare of the permitting the use of the Property, directly or indirectly, persons in the area surrounding the Property 23.

7

Unless Defendants and DOES 1 through 50 are restrained and enjoined by order of this Court, they will continue to use, occupy and maintain, and to aid, abet or permit, directly or indirectly, the use, occupation, and maintenance of the Property, together with the fixtures great to the and appurtenances located therein, for the purpose complained of herein, irreparable damage of Plaintiff and in violation of California law.

9

#### COMPETITION [Business and Professions Code Section 17200, et seq. Against All Defendants and DOES 1 through 50] **ACTION FOR UNFAIR** P SECOND CAUSE

12

13

7

15

91

17

00

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Complaint and makes them part of this Second Cause of Action, as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 24 of this 25.
- business. When the owner of such a business violates the PNL such that a nuisance exists and flourishes at the business' premises, as set forth herein, it is also a violation of the UCL Ownership and rental of a commercial strip mall, such as the Property, 26.
- Defendants and DOES 1-50 have violated the UCL by engaging in the following related, criminal, and/or nuisance activity at the Property, as alleged herein, in violation of the directly or indirectly, conducting, maintaining and/or permitting, directly or indirectly, gang unlawful or unfair business acts and practices: conducting, maintaining and/or permitting PNL
- 1-50 continue to commit unlawful business practices or acts, thereby causing irreparable injury and Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law, and unless Defendants and DOES are restrained by this Court and a receiver is appointed to manage the Property, they will harm to the public's welfare.

=

28

#### **PRAYER**

## WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF PRAYS THAT THIS COURT ORDER, ADJUDGE AND DECREE AS FOLLOWS:

 $\alpha$ 

### AS TO THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

thereon, be declared a public nuisance and be permanently abated as such in accordance with That the Property, together with the fixtures and moveable property therein and California Civil Code section 3491.

6

10

Ξ

12

13

14

2

16

17

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- On  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ monitoring system accessible by LAPD, improved lighting, an armed security guard, improved carry out the Court's orders, and such other orders as are appropriate to remedy the nuisance operating, conducting, using, occupying, or in any way permitting the use of the Property as That each Defendant and their agents, officers, employees and anyone acting Such orders should include, but not be limited to physical and managerial screening of the businesses that operate on the Property, the appointment of a receiver to their behalf, and their heirs and assignees, be preliminarily and perpetually enjoined from improvements to the Property such as, without limitation, an internet-connected video on the Property and enhance the abatement process. public nuisance.
- Such costs as may occur in abating said nuisance at the Property and such other costs as the Court shall deem just and proper. က်
- That Plaintiff be granted such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper, including closure and/or demolition of the Property

### AS TO THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- That each Defendant be declared in violation of Business and Professions Code section 17200.
- acting on their behalf, be permanently enjoined from maintaining, operating, or permitting any That each Defendant, as well as their agents, heirs, successors, and anyone unlawful or unfair business acts or practices in violation of Business and Professions Code section 17200

=

ω

Such orders should include physical and managerial improvements to and in as well as their agents, heirs, successors, and anyone acting on their behalf, from That the Court grant a preliminary and/or permanent injunction prohibiting each engaging in the unlawful or unfair acts and/or practices described herein at the Property the City of Los Angeles. the Property Defendant, ന

2

4

- Defendant be assessed a civil penalty of \$2,500 for each and every act of unfair competition. That, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206, each
- That, pursuant to the Court's equitable power and Business and Professions Code section 17203, the Court make such orders or judgments, including appointment of receiver, to eliminate the unlawful or unfair competition alleged herein.

6

10

12

13

14

15

91

17

8

### AS TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- That Plaintiff recover the amount of the filing fees and the amount of the fee for The fees may, at the Court's discretion, include the the service of process or notices which would have been paid but for Government Code amount of the fees for certifying and preparing transcripts. section 6103.5, designating it as such.
- That Plaintiff be granted such other and further relief as the Court deems just and ri proper

DATED: April 25, 2017

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Respectfully submitted,

Chief, Crim. & Spec. Lit. Branch JONATHAN CRISTALL, Superv. Assist. City Attorney NANCY C. HAGAN, Deputy City Attorney MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney MARY CLARE MOLIDOR,

BY: Chara fay

Attorneys for Plaintiff, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA